

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/684,018	10/10/2003	Gary Meyer		3231
7590 08/20/2099 Scott L., Terrell, P.C. Suite E			EXAMINER	
			A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
12970 W. 20th Avenue Golden, CO 80401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Colonia Co color			3633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/684.018 MEYER, GARY Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PHI D. A 3633 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attacimient(3)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		per No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)	5)Not	lice of Informal Patert Application	-
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Oth	er:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			1

Application/Control Number: 10/684,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 3633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-2, 7-11, drawn to a floor panel, classified in class 52, subclass 126.3.

II. Claim 12, drawn to a method of replacing a raised floor panel classified in class

52, subclass 745.06.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product; for example: the product can be preset to precise height without

any need for adjustment.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions

listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of

the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different

classification:

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized

divergent subject matter;

Art Unit: 3633

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either

Art Unit: 3633

instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 3633

5. Newly submitted claim 12 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claim presents method steps not required of the product.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 12 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al (4258516).

Mori figures 2-3 shows an interchangeable raised access floor panel comprising a floor panel plate having four corner portions, four lateral edge portions, a substantially flat upper and lower surface, the lower surface in order to be supported at each of the four corner portions on a pedestal support head member(23), the upper load bearing surface and the lower surface defining a floor panel plate thickness, four threaded collars (22) in the floor panel plate, one ach positioned adjacent to each of the corner portions, four set screws (28), each having an upper tool receiving end (at 29) and a lower foot end(figure 9, the portion close to part 25) in order to bias against the pedestal support head for urging the set screws against the pedestal support head (of

Application/Control Number: 10/684,018 Page 6

Art Unit: 3633

each set screw) when the set screws are operated in order to permit vertical adjustment of the floor panel plate in relation to the pedestal support head.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 4/20/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant's statement of "a setscrew is one made of hardened steel" does not commensurate with the scope of the claim. The claim language only states "four set screws", not "four set screw made of hardened steel....". The reference shows four set screws (28) as claimed. furthermore, per Webster's dictionary "setscrews: a screw screwed through one part tightly upon or into another part to prevent relative movement". The reference thus shows the claimed "set screws".
- With respect to "tool receiving end and a foot end", the rejection above clearly sets forth the Mori's structures which meet the claimed language.
- 6. With respect to "support base", "bolt", the reference shows the claimed "support base", and the claimed "setscrews". There is nothing that differentiates applicant's setscrews and support base from those disclosed in the reference and pointed by the examiner.
- 7. With respect to "bolt...collar... are not an element of the panel plate...above a substrate", examiner respectfully points out the followings. first of all, the claim does not require that the floor panel be made of one piece with the other claimed limitations. secondly, applicant' specification does not even appear to support the allegation that applicant's panel is one piece with the setscrews, pedestal supports and collars. If they are one piece, the set screws would be

Art Unit: 3633

immobile. Thirdly, the claimed language is reasonably broadly interpreted to meet the claimed limitations. The arguments are thus not persuasive.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 11 are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Phi D A/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633

Phi Dieu Tran A

8/16/09